IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYAN AT CHANDIGRH

R.S.A. No. 3279 of 2006
Date of decision: January 8,2007

Sham Sunder V. Harish Kumar and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

Present: Shri Atul Lakhanpal,Advocate, for the appellant.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral)

The two Courts below have rejected the claim of the plaintiff-appellant seeking permanent injunction with regard to the suit property on the ground that the plaintiff has not been able to prove that the property purchased by him through the sale deed dated December 12,1966 was the suit property. On account of the failure of the plaintiff to connect the suit property, the trial court dismissed the suit and the appellate court has also dismissed his appeal.

Nothing has been shown that the findings recorded by the two Courts below suffer from any infirmity or are contrary to the record.

No question of law, much less any substantial question of law, arises in the present appeal.

Dismissed.

January 8,2007 (Viney Mittal)
sks Judge